

# $\mathbb{L}$ -functional analysis

Marten Wortel

joint work with Eder Kikianty, Miek Messerschmidt,  
Luan Naude, Mark Roelands, Christopher Schwanke,  
Walt van Amstel, and Jan Harm van der Walt

University of Pretoria

June, 2025

$\mathbb{L}$ -functional analysis is functional analysis but with  $\mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) replaced by a real (or complex) Dedekind complete unital  $f$ -algebra  $\mathbb{L}$ .

- Why is this useful/interesting?
- Why replace the scalars with a Dedekind complete unital  $f$ -algebra?

# Probability theory in vector lattices

- Invented in 2000's in South Africa
- $E$  (replacing  $L^1$ ) is a Dedekind complete vector lattice,  $e$  (replacing  $\mathbf{1}$ ) weak unit
- $T: E \rightarrow E$  **conditional expectation**: linear, positive, order continuous,  $Te = e$ ,  $R(T)$  Dedekind complete
- Extend  $T$ :  $R(T)$  becomes universally complete
- $R(T)$  admits a very nice  $f$ -algebra multiplication
- $E$  becomes an  $R(T)$ -module
- Define  $\|\cdot\|_p: E \rightarrow R(T)$  by  $\|f\|_p := T(|f|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$
- Define  $L^p(T)$  as those  $f$  for which  $\|f\|_p$  exists
- Azouzi, Kalauch, Kuo, Watson 2023: Completeness of  $L^p(T)$ , Riesz Representation Theorem for  $L^2(T)$

# Kaplansky-Hilbert modules

- An abelian AW\*-algebra is a special abelian C\*-algebra: Dedekind complete  $C_{\mathbb{C}}(K)$  (i.e.,  $K$  Stonean)

Kaplansky, 1953: initiated study of Kaplansky-Hilbert modules (KH-modules): Hilbert spaces  $H$  with  $\mathbb{C}$  replaced by an abelian AW\*-algebra  $A \cong C_{\mathbb{C}}(K)$ .

- $H$  is an  $A$ -module
- $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H \times H \rightarrow A$ , positive definite,  $A$ -sesquilinear
- Some completeness assumption

Kaplansky used KH-modules to characterize type I AW\*-algebras.

- Edeko, Haasse, Kreidler (2024): A Decomposition Theorem for Unitary Group Representations on Kaplansky-Hilbert Modules and the Furstenberg-Zimmer Structure Theorem

## Connection between those two theories?

- In probability, scalars:  $R(T)$ , universally complete VL
- In KH-modules, scalars:  $A \cong C_{\mathbb{C}}(K)$ , abelian AW\*-algebra

Connection between those two theories?

- In probability, scalars:  $R(T)$ , universally complete VL
- In KH-modules, scalars:  $A \cong C_{\mathbb{C}}(K)$ , abelian AW\*-algebra

Both are (real/complex) Dedekind complete unital f-algebras!

Our goal: unify both theories by setting up a general theory of functional analysis, replacing  $\mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) by a real (or complex) Dedekind complete unital f-algebra  $\mathbb{L}$ .

By representation theory we obtain

$$C(K) \subseteq \mathbb{L} \subseteq C^{\infty}(K)$$

$$C_{\mathbb{C}}(K) \subseteq \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq C_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty}(K)$$

In this talk we assume  $\mathbb{L}$  is real (but our theory also covers the complex case).

# Comparing $\mathbb{L}$ with $\mathbb{R}$

- From now on  $\mathbb{L}$  is a fixed Dedekind complete unital f-algebra.  
Notation:  $\lambda, \mu, 1 \in \mathbb{L}$ .
- $\mathbb{L}$  plays the role of an **partially ordered ring** replacing  $\mathbb{R}$

$\mathbb{R}$	$\mathbb{L}$
Field $0 \neq r$ is invertible Totally ordered	Commutative ring $0 \neq \lambda$ often not invertible Partially (lattice) ordered
metric/Dedekind complete	Dedekind complete

- real vector space =  $\mathbb{R}$ -module: replaced by  $\mathbb{L}$ -module

## Example

A Dedekind complete vector lattice  $E$  is an  $\text{Orth}(E)$ -module

- normed space: replaced by  $\mathbb{L}$ -normed space

## Definition

An  **$\mathbb{L}$ -normed space**  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is an  $\mathbb{L}$ -module  $X$  equipped with a map  $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^+$  satisfying  $(\lambda \in \mathbb{L}, x, y \in X)$

- $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$
- $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$
- $\|x\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$ .



## Definition

An  $\mathbb{L}$ -**normed space**  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is an  $\mathbb{L}$ -module  $X$  equipped with a map  $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^+$  satisfying  $(\lambda \in \mathbb{L}, x, y \in X)$

- $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$
- $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$
- $\|x\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$ .

An  $\mathbb{L}$ -normed space is an example of a **lattice normed space**, which goes back to Kantorovich (1936), who investigated mostly the non-module case.

## Example

$(\mathbb{L}, |\cdot|)$  is an  $\mathbb{L}$ -normed space

# Convergence

We define  $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$  to mean that  $\|x_\alpha - x\| \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{L}$ , so we need a notion of convergence in  $\mathbb{L}$ . **Order convergence** is used in both motivating examples.

Notation:  $A \searrow 0$  means that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{L}$  with  $\inf A = 0$ .

# Convergence

We define  $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$  to mean that  $\|x_\alpha - x\| \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{L}$ , so we need a notion of convergence in  $\mathbb{L}$ . **Order convergence** is used in both motivating examples.

Notation:  $A \searrow 0$  means that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{L}$  with  $\inf A = 0$ .

## Definition

Let  $X$  be an  $\mathbb{L}$ -normed space,  $(x_\alpha)$  a net in  $X$ , and  $x \in X$ . Then we define  $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$  to mean that

$$\exists \mathcal{E} \searrow 0 \forall \varepsilon \in \mathcal{E} \exists \alpha_0 \forall \alpha \geq \alpha_0 \quad \|x_\alpha - x\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Similar to convergence in  $\mathbb{R}$ , except  $\mathcal{E}$  depends on the net  $(x_\alpha)$ . Note that notion of convergence in  $X$  is **not** topological! It turns  $X$  into a **convergence space**.

## Definition

A net  $(x_\alpha)$  in an  $\mathbb{L}$ -normed space  $X$  is **Cauchy** if  $x_\alpha - x_\beta \rightarrow 0$ .  $X$  is **complete** or an  $\mathbb{L}$ -**Banach space** if every Cauchy net converges.

The Dedekind completeness of  $\mathbb{L}$  is equivalent to the completeness of  $(\mathbb{L}, |\cdot|)$ .

Thus the Dedekind completeness assumption on  $\mathbb{L}$  is necessary.

Let  $S$  be a nonempty set.

### Example

$$\ell_\infty(S, \mathbb{L}) := \{f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{L}: \exists M \in \mathbb{L}^+ \forall s \in S |f(s)| \leq M\}$$

Defining  $(\lambda f)(s) := \lambda f(s)$  turns  $\ell_\infty(S, \mathbb{L})$  into an  $\mathbb{L}$ -module, and for  $f \in \ell_\infty(S, \mathbb{L})$ , define (using Dedekind completeness of  $\mathbb{L}$ )

$$\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{s \in S} |f(s)|.$$

Let  $S$  be a nonempty set.

### Example

$$\ell_\infty(S, \mathbb{L}) := \{f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{L} : \exists M \in \mathbb{L}^+ \forall s \in S |f(s)| \leq M\}$$

Defining  $(\lambda f)(s) := \lambda f(s)$  turns  $\ell_\infty(S, \mathbb{L})$  into an  $\mathbb{L}$ -module, and for  $f \in \ell_\infty(S, \mathbb{L})$ , define (using Dedekind completeness of  $\mathbb{L}$ )

$$\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{s \in S} |f(s)|.$$

### Theorem

$\ell_\infty(S, \mathbb{L})$  is an  $\mathbb{L}$ -Banach space.

Proof is **very** similar to the classical case.

- We prove similar results for  $\mathbb{L}$ -valued  $\ell^p$  and  $c_0$ .

- $X, Y$   $\mathbb{L}$ -normed spaces,  $T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(X, Y)$ .

## Definition

$T$  is **bounded** if  $\exists M \in \mathbb{L}^+ \forall x \in X \quad \|Tx\|_Y \leq M \|x\|_X$ .

$$\|T\| := \inf\{M \in \mathbb{L}^+ : \forall x \in X \quad \|Tx\| \leq M \|x\|\}$$

$$B(X, Y) := \{T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(X, Y) : T \text{ is bounded}\}.$$

- $X, Y$   $\mathbb{L}$ -normed spaces,  $T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(X, Y)$ .

## Definition

$T$  is **bounded** if  $\exists M \in \mathbb{L}^+ \forall x \in X \quad \|Tx\|_Y \leq M \|x\|_X$ .

$$\|T\| := \inf\{M \in \mathbb{L}^+ : \forall x \in X \quad \|Tx\| \leq M \|x\|\}$$

$$B(X, Y) := \{T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(X, Y) : T \text{ is bounded}\}.$$

## Theorem

$B(X, Y)$  is an  $\mathbb{L}$ -normed space satisfying  $\|TS\| \leq \|T\| \|S\|$  which is complete whenever  $Y$  is complete.

Proof is very similar to the classical case.



# Idempotents and disjointness

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the Boolean algebra of idempotents in  $\mathbb{L}$ :

## Definition

$$\mathbb{P} := \{\pi \in \mathbb{L} : \pi^2 = \pi\}$$

For  $\pi \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $\pi^c := 1 - \pi \in \mathbb{P}$ .

- $\mathbb{P}$  consists of components (fragments) of  $1 \in \mathbb{L}$
- The band projections in  $\mathbb{L}$  are  $\lambda \mapsto \pi\lambda$  for  $\pi \in \mathbb{P}$
- In  $\mathbb{L}$ :  $\lambda \perp \mu \Leftrightarrow \exists \pi \in \mathbb{P} : \lambda = \pi\lambda \text{ and } \mu = \pi^c\mu$

# Idempotents and disjointness

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the Boolean algebra of idempotents in  $\mathbb{L}$ :

## Definition

$$\mathbb{P} := \{\pi \in \mathbb{L} : \pi^2 = \pi\}$$

For  $\pi \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $\pi^c := 1 - \pi \in \mathbb{P}$ .

- $\mathbb{P}$  consists of components (fragments) of  $1 \in \mathbb{L}$
- The band projections in  $\mathbb{L}$  are  $\lambda \mapsto \pi\lambda$  for  $\pi \in \mathbb{P}$
- In  $\mathbb{L}$ :  $\lambda \perp \mu \Leftrightarrow \exists \pi \in \mathbb{P} : \lambda = \pi\lambda$  and  $\mu = \pi^c\mu$

This disjointness structure can be transferred to  $\mathbb{L}$ -modules, even though  $\mathbb{L}$ -modules (like vector spaces) need not be ordered:

## Definition

Let  $X$  be an  $\mathbb{L}$ -module. We define  $x, y \in X$  to be **disjoint separated** if there is  $\pi \in \mathbb{P}$  with  $x = \pi x$  and  $y = \pi^c y$ .

## Definition

Let  $X$  be an  $\mathbb{L}$ -module. We define  $x, y \in X$  to be **disjoint separated** if there is  $\pi \in \mathbb{P}$  with  $x = \pi x$  and  $y = \pi^c y$ .

The  $\mathbb{L}$ -module  $X = \mathbb{L}^2$  has two additional structures:

- $\mathbb{L}$ -vector lattice:  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \vee (\mu_1, \mu_2) = (\lambda_1 \vee \mu_1, \lambda_2 \vee \mu_2)$
- $\mathbb{L}$ -inner product space:  $\langle (\lambda_1, \lambda_2), (\mu_1, \mu_2) \rangle = (\lambda_1 \mu_1, \lambda_2 \mu_2)$

Let  $x, y \in X$ .

- $x, y$  separated  $\Rightarrow |x| \wedge |y| = 0 \Rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle = 0$
- $\langle (1, 1), (1, -1) \rangle = 0$  but  $|(1, 1)| \wedge |(1, -1)| \neq 0$
- $(1, 0) \wedge (0, 1) = 0$  but they are not separated

So for  $\mathbb{L}$ -vector lattices, separatedness is different from disjointness (and they are also different from orthogonality for  $\mathbb{L}$ -inner product modules).

Is this notion of separatedness useful?

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $\mathbb{L}$ -modules. A map  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  is  **$\mathbb{P}$ -homogeneous** if  $\varphi(\pi x) = \pi \varphi(x)$  for all  $\pi \in \mathbb{P}$ .

### Lemma

*If  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -homogeneous and  $x$  and  $y$  are separated, then*

$$\varphi(x + y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y).$$

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $\mathbb{L}$ -modules. A map  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  is  **$\mathbb{P}$ -homogeneous** if  $\varphi(\pi x) = \pi \varphi(x)$  for all  $\pi \in \mathbb{P}$ .

### Lemma

*If  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -homogeneous and  $x$  and  $y$  are separated, then*

$$\varphi(x + y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y).$$

### Proof.

Pick  $\pi \in \mathbb{P}$  with  $\pi x = x$  and  $\pi^c y = y$ , then  $\pi^c x = 0$  and  $\pi y = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(x + y) &= (\pi + \pi^c)\varphi(x + y) = \pi\varphi(x + y) + \pi^c\varphi(x + y) \\ &= \varphi(\pi x + \pi y) + \varphi(\pi^c x + \pi^c y) \\ &= \varphi(\pi x) + \varphi(\pi^c y) \\ &= \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)\end{aligned}$$



So  $\mathbb{P}$ -homogeneous maps are additive on separated elements. Is that useful?

The norm is  $\mathbb{P}$ -homogeneous, so for separated  $x$  and  $y$  in an  $\mathbb{L}$ -normed space:

$$\|x + y\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$$

So an  $\mathbb{L}$ -normed space is somewhat similar to an AL-space.

Thus, if  $\pi \in \mathbb{P}$ , then

$$X = \pi X \oplus_1 \pi^c X.$$

This is cute but maybe not that useful. However...

$\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  is **sublinear** if  $\varphi(\lambda x) = \lambda \varphi(x)$  and  $\varphi(x + y) \leq \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{L}^+$  and  $x, y \in X$ .

## Theorem

*Let  $X$  be a real  $\mathbb{L}$ -module,  $Y \subseteq X$  submodule,  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(Y, \mathbb{L})$ ,  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  sublinear with  $f(y) \leq \varphi(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Then there exists an  $F \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(X, \mathbb{L})$  extending  $f$  with  $F(x) \leq \varphi(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .*

$\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  is **sublinear** if  $\varphi(\lambda x) = \lambda \varphi(x)$  and  $\varphi(x + y) \leq \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{L}^+$  and  $x, y \in X$ .

## Theorem

*Let  $X$  be a real  $\mathbb{L}$ -module,  $Y \subseteq X$  submodule,  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(Y, \mathbb{L})$ ,  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  sublinear with  $f(y) \leq \varphi(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Then there exists an  $F \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(X, \mathbb{L})$  extending  $f$  with  $F(x) \leq \varphi(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .*

Classical proof relies on the fact that if  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then ( $\lambda$  is invertible) and ( $\lambda > 0$  or  $\lambda < 0$ ). Neither hold in  $\mathbb{L}$ .

- One can approximating  $\lambda \neq 0$  by invertibles
- One can write  $\lambda x = \lambda^+ x - \lambda^- x$  and a crucial step of the proof is to use the additivity of  $\varphi$  on the separated  $\lambda^+ x$  and  $\lambda^- x$

Is  $\mathbb{L}$ -Hahn-Banach useful?



### Corollary

*Let  $X$  be an  $\mathbb{L}$ -normed space. Then  $X^* := B(X, \mathbb{L})$  separates the points of  $X$ , and  $J: X \rightarrow X^{**}$  is isometric.*

### Corollary

*The completion of  $X$  can be defined as  $\overline{J(X)}$  in  $X^{**}$ .*

This circumvents set-theoretic issues with having to consider equivalence classes of Cauchy nets.

Let  $X$  be an  $\mathbb{L}$ -normed space.

### Definition

For  $x \in X$ , the **support**  $\pi_x \in \mathbb{P}$  of  $x$  is defined by

$$\pi_x := \inf\{\pi \in \mathbb{P} : \pi x = x\} = \min\{\pi \in \mathbb{P} : \pi x = x\}.$$

- The last equality need not hold in non-normed  $\mathbb{L}$ -modules
- $x$  and  $y$  are separated  $\Leftrightarrow \pi_x \wedge \pi_y = 0$

### Definition

For an  $\mathbb{L}$ -normed space  $X$ , define the support of  $X$

$$\pi_X := \sup_{x \in X} \pi_x \in \mathbb{P}.$$

$\pi_X$  is the smallest idempotent acting as 1 on  $X$ .

In general there is no  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\| = \pi_X$ , but...

## Theorem

*Let  $X$  be an  $\mathbb{L}$ -Banach space. Then there is an  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\| = \pi_X$*

Proof is the same as the proof for KH-modules from Edeko, Haasse, Kreidler (2024).

## Proof.

Define  $x \preceq y$  to mean  $x = \pi_x y$ . If  $X = \mathbb{L}$ , then  $\lambda \preceq \mu$  precisely when  $\lambda$  is a **fragment** (component) of  $\mu$ . In 2020, Mykhaylyuk, Pliev, and Popov started studying  $\preceq$  on vector lattices (therein called 'lateral order').

## Theorem

Let  $X$  be an  $\mathbb{L}$ -Banach space. Then there is an  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\| = \pi_X$

Proof is the same as the proof for KH-modules from Edeko, Haasse, Kreidler (2024).

## Proof.

Define  $x \preceq y$  to mean  $x = \pi_x y$ . If  $X = \mathbb{L}$ , then  $\lambda \preceq \mu$  precisely when  $\lambda$  is a **fragment** (component) of  $\mu$ . In 2020, Mykhaylyuk, Pliev, and Popov started studying  $\preceq$  on vector lattices (therein called 'lateral order').

Consider  $S = \{x \in X : \|x\| \in \mathbb{P}\}$ ; we want to show that  $S$  has a  $\preceq$ -maximal element  $x$ . Let  $K$  be a chain in  $S$ . Indexed by itself,  $K$  turns out to be a Cauchy net, and its limit turns out to be an upper bound for  $K$ . By Zorn,  $S$  has a maximal element  $x$  which turns out to satisfy  $\|x\| = \pi_X$ . □

Is this useful?

Let  $H$  be an  $\mathbb{L}$ -module.

## Definition

An **inner product** is a map  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  satisfying

- $\langle x, x \rangle \in \mathbb{L}^+$ , and  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$
- $\langle \lambda x + \mu y, z \rangle = \lambda \langle x, z \rangle + \mu \langle y, z \rangle$
- $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$

Note that  $\|x\| := \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$  turns  $H$  into an  $\mathbb{L}$ -normed space; if it is complete,  $H$  is called an  $\mathbb{L}$ -**Hilbert space**.

If  $S \subseteq H$  then the orthogonal complement  $S^\perp \subseteq H$  is closed and hence complete.

- Does  $H$  have an orthonormal basis?

# Suborthonormal basis

Let  $H$  be an  $\mathbb{L}$ -Hilbert space. Note that if  $\pi_H \neq 1$ , there is no  $x \in H$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ .

## Definition

$S \subseteq H$  is a **suborthonormal basis** if  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle x, x \rangle \in \mathbb{P} \setminus \{0\}$  for all  $x \neq y \in S$ , and  $S^\perp = \{0\}$

## Theorem

*Let  $H$  be an  $\mathbb{L}$ -Hilbert space. Then there is an ordinal  $\gamma$  and a suborthonormal basis  $(b_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \gamma}$  of  $H$  such that  $\alpha \mapsto \|b_\alpha\|$  is decreasing.*

Recall that for each  $\mathbb{L}$ -Banach  $X$  space there is an  $x \in X$  with maximal idempotent norm ( $\|x\| = \pi_X$ ); in particular this holds for closed subspaces of an  $\mathbb{L}$ -Hilbert space.

## Theorem

*Let  $H$  be an  $\mathbb{L}$ -Hilbert space. Then there is an ordinal  $\gamma$  and a suborthonormal basis  $(b_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \gamma}$  of  $H$  such that  $\alpha \mapsto \|b_\alpha\|$  is decreasing.*

Recall that for each  $\mathbb{L}$ -Banach  $X$  space there is an  $x \in X$  with maximal idempotent norm ( $\|x\| = \pi_X$ ); in particular this holds for closed subspaces of an  $\mathbb{L}$ -Hilbert space.

## Proof.

Pick a  $b_0$  with  $\|b_0\| = \pi_H$ . For  $0 \neq \beta \in \gamma$ , by Transfinite Recursion pick  $b_\beta$  in  $H_\beta := \{b_\alpha : \alpha < \beta\}^\perp$  with  $\|b_\beta\| = \pi_{H_\beta}$  (if  $H_\beta \neq \{0\}$ ). Since  $H_\beta$  is decreasing,  $\beta \mapsto \|b_\beta\|$  is decreasing. By a cardinality argument this process must stop at some ordinal  $\gamma$  when  $\{b_\alpha : \alpha < \gamma\}^\perp = \{0\}$ . □

This is used to prove an  $\ell^2$ -representation theorem for  $\mathbb{L}$ -Hilbert spaces.



Every  $\mathbb{L}$ -Hilbert space is a direct sum of  $\ell^2$ -spaces taking values into disjoint parts of  $\mathbb{L}$ .

### Theorem

*Let  $H$  be an  $\mathbb{L}$ -Hilbert space. Then there is a disjoint collection of idempotents  $(\pi_i)_{i \in I}$  in  $\mathbb{L}$  and sets  $(S_i)_{i \in I}$  such that*

$$H \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \ell^2(S_i, \pi_i \mathbb{L})$$

The  $\pi_i$ 's correspond to the jumps in  $\alpha \mapsto \|b_\alpha\|$  from the previous theorem.

# Conclusion and prospects

$\mathbb{L}$ -functional analysis is a nice theory, simultaneously generalizing the basic setup of KH-modules and parts of probability in vector lattices.

Some related work:

- Jiang, van der Walt, W.:  $\mathbb{L}$ -valued integration
- Zhang, Yan, Liu:  $\mathbb{L}$ -Bochner spaces w.r.t. scalar-values measures (arxiv)
- Chamberlain:  $\mathbb{L}$ -vector lattices

Future work:

- Generalize the rest of functional analysis to  $\mathbb{L}$ -functional analysis;
- Find a nice application.

Thank you for your attention!